Pharos Journal of Theology ISSN 2414-3324 online Volume 107 (4) Regular Issue (2026)
Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Comparative Study of Religious and Spiritual Education in Personal Development: Kyrgyzstan and International Perspectives

Rita Salmorbekova*
Kyrgyz Aviation Institute named after I. Abdraimov, Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7580-9694
*Corresponding Author: ritasalmorbekova@gmail.com

Alisbek Alymkulov
National Academy of Sciences of the Kyrgyz Republic
https://orcid.org/0009-0008-8316-5439

Eldiiar Zhalilov
Department of Religious Studies and Theology, Islamic Academy
Tokmok, Kyrgyz Republic
https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-0652-0897

Myrzalieva Tattybubu
Osh State University
Osh, Kyrgyz Republic
https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7565-460X

https://doi.org/10.46222/pharosjot.107.4011

Abstract

This comparative theological and educational study examines religious and spiritual education as a key factor in holistic personal development, focusing on the national model of Kyrgyzstan in dialogue with selected post-Soviet and Western international perspectives. Drawing on policy analysis, theological frameworks (including post-secular theory and spiritual formation concepts), and comparative case studies, the research highlights Kyrgyzstan's unique integrative approach as outlined in the 2021 Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education of the Individual. The concept argues that intellectual, moral, cultural, and physical development should advance together. Rather than focusing only on academic achievement or economic outcomes, it seeks to cultivate responsible, healthy, and socially engaged citizens. This model thus synthesizes traditional values (Tengrism and Islamic ethics), classical philosophy, national cultural narratives (e.g., the Epic of Manas), and physical education into a unified framework for moral, spiritual, and embodied formation. In contrast, post-Soviet contexts (Russia, Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan) emphasize collective identity and cultural cohesion, often with varying religious orientations, while Western models (United States, United Kingdom, Germany) prioritize secular, individual-focused ethical reasoning and character education, typically separating spiritual dimensions from physical development. The analysis suggests that the Kyrgyz model represents a distinctive attempt to integrate spiritual, moral, and physical dimensions of education. The findings suggest Kyrgyzstan's distinctive holistic paradigm, which affirms an embodied anthropological vision and contributes to contemporary theological discourse on education in pluralistic, post-secular societies, offering insights for fostering ethical responsibility, social cohesion, and human flourishing amid globalization.

Keywords: religious education; spiritual formation; moral development; comparative theology; post-Soviet education; post-secular society; holistic education; theological anthropology.

Open Rubric

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Introduction

Religious and spiritual education has increasingly been recognised as a crucial dimension of holistic personal development, particularly in relation to moral formation, ethical awareness, emotional resilience, and spiritual well-being. Contemporary educational and theological scholarship emphasises that religious education is not limited to doctrinal transmission but plays a formative role in shaping values, identity, and social responsibility within pluralistic societies.

Recent empirical studies demonstrate that structured religious education positively influences students' spiritual quality, moral behaviour, and social engagement. For example, research on Islamic religious education highlights its significant contribution to students' spiritual and social development, confirming the formative function of religion-based educational models in personal development processes (Shodiq et al., 2025). Similarly, studies on spiritual formation in educational settings underline its role in fostering self-awareness, purposefulness, and psychological well-being, which are essential components of mature personality development (Cejuela, 2025).

Within higher education and secondary schooling, scholars increasingly argue for the integration of spiritual learning as a response to contemporary social challenges, including moral relativism, identity fragmentation, and declining ethical engagement among youth. Comparative educational research shows that spiritual-based learning experiences promote transformative personal growth, moral reflection, and a deeper understanding of faith traditions across diverse cultural contexts (Ali Imron et al., 2025). These findings align with theological perspectives that view education as a process of spiritual formation rather than merely cognitive instruction. From Grimmitt's (2000) perspective, religious and spiritual education contributes to personal development when learners engage in at least some reflective dialogue with religious traditions and use them as resources for constructing personal meaning and ethical understanding. Religious Education as Personal Development Grimmitt distinguished between one learning about religion (knowledge acquisition) and then learning from religion (personal reflection and meaning-making). For a study of Kyrgyzstan and international contexts, Grimmitt would most likely ask how religious and spiritual education contribute to learners' moral, emotional, social, and existential development. He would be interested in whether students use religious traditions as resources for identity formation, ethical decision-making, understanding diversity and then also the meaning and purpose of life as well as interpretive and reflective learning as does Nicolaides (2022; 2023).

Grimmitt (1987) emphasized that students should engage critically and personally with religious traditions rather than simply memorize facts. Thus in Kyrgyzstan, where Islamic traditions coexist with secular educational policies and cultural diversity, Grimmitt might argue that religious education should encourage dialogue between traditions and promote self-understanding while fostering intercultural competence. From this perspective then, religious and spiritual education contributes to personal development when learners engage in reflective dialogue with religious traditions and use them as resources for constructing personal meaning and ethical understanding.

Volf (2015) and also Nicolaides (2022) argue that religious and spiritual education supports personal development by fostering human flourishing, identity formation, ethical responsibility, and respectful engagement with religious diversity. Their work focuses on identity, human flourishing, reconciliation, and the role of faith in public life and they assert that that education should always contribute to human flourishing rather than merely economic productivity.

Grimmitt's theory of learning from religion and Volf's concept of human flourishing as well as Nicolaides work, provide complementary frameworks for examining how religious and spiritual education contributes to personal development. Together, they explain that religious education can support identity formation, promote ethical growth and intercultural understanding, and search for meaning in diverse societies such as Kyrgyzstan. They both offer useful theoretical lenses, although they approach religion and spirituality from different perspectives. While critical thinking and democratic citizenship are important aims of education, character education should not be confined

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

to measurable liberal outcomes. Ethical education must cultivate virtue, moral responsibility, and Ubuntu-based concern for others, enabling learners to become ethically grounded citizens who contribute to human flourishing and social well-being. Nicolaides (2022; 2023) would likely argue that it is too narrowly liberal and instrumental, and that it should of necessity be expanded to include virtue ethics, character formation, and Ubuntu-informed (African notions) of moral development.

Globalisation and growing cultural and religious diversity intensify the need for comparative analysis of national models of religious and spiritual education such as the aforesaid. International scholarship highlights that different countries conceptualise and implement spiritual and moral education in distinct ways, shaped by historical, cultural, and theological traditions. However, there remains a notable gap in comparative studies that examine post-Soviet and Central Asian contexts alongside broader international perspectives.

In this regard, Kyrgyzstan represents a particularly significant case. As a multi-religious and culturally diverse society undergoing educational and social transformation, the country faces the challenge of integrating spiritual and religious values into a secular educational framework while maintaining social cohesion. The lack of comprehensive comparative research on Kyrgyzstan's national approach to religious and spiritual education underscores the necessity of the present study. By comparing Kyrgyzstan with selected international contexts, this research aims to contribute to theological discourse on religious education, spiritual formation, and personal development in contemporary societies.

The primary aim of this article is to conduct a comparative theological and educational analysis of religious and spiritual education as a formative factor in personal development, using the case of Kyrgyzstan in dialogue with selected international perspectives. The study seeks to explore how national educational concepts integrate religious and spiritual dimensions and how these approaches contribute to the formation of moral identity, ethical responsibility, and spiritual self-understanding in contemporary societies.

This aim is grounded in the scholarly understanding that education, particularly religious education, cannot be reduced to cognitive instruction alone but must be approached as a process of spiritual and moral formation. As Charles Taylor (Taylor, 2020) argues, modern societies are characterised by plural and contested moral frameworks, making spiritual formation a crucial element in sustaining meaningful identity and ethical orientation. Similarly, Habermas (Habermas, 1995) emphasises the ongoing relevance of religious traditions as moral and normative resources within post-secular societies, particularly in the context of education and public life.

In line with theological perspectives on formation, this study also draws on the concept of formation as articulated by Astley (Astley, 1994), who highlights religious education as a reflective and transformative practice shaping both belief and lived experience. From this standpoint, religious and spiritual education is understood not merely as doctrinal transmission but as a dialogical process fostering moral reasoning, self-reflection, and responsible citizenship.

Research questions to ask in the study include the following three.

Q1. How is religious and spiritual education conceptualized within the Kyrgyz national framework?

Q2. How does the Kyrgyz model compare with selected post-Soviet and Western approaches?

Q3. What theological and educational assumptions underlie these models?

The aligned specific objectives of the study are thus:

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

- to analyse contemporary national concepts of religious and spiritual education in Kyrgyzstan, with particular attention to their theological, ethical, and cultural foundations, and their role in shaping personal development within a secular educational framework.
- to examine selected international models of religious and spiritual education, identifying common theological assumptions and divergent approaches to moral and spiritual formation in different cultural and confessional contexts (Volf, 2019).
- to compare the Kyrgyz and international approaches in order to reveal how religious traditions, spiritual values, and educational policies interact in the formation of personal identity, ethical awareness, and social responsibility.
- to contribute to contemporary theological discourse on religious education by situating the findings within broader debates on spirituality, moral education, and identity formation in pluralistic and post-secular societies (Taylor, 2020; Habermas, 1995).
- to provide conceptual insights for educators, theologians, and policymakers, highlighting the potential of religious and spiritual education to support holistic personal development while fostering intercultural dialogue and social cohesion.

By pursuing these objectives, the article aligns with current theological scholarship that views religious education as a vital space for negotiating meaning, values, and identity in a globalised world. The study thus responds to calls within contemporary theology for comparative, context-sensitive research that bridges educational practice and theological reflection.

Materials and Methods

This study employed a comparative and interdisciplinary research design to examine religious and spiritual education as a factor of personal development within different national contexts. The methodological framework integrated theological analysis, educational research, and socio-cultural comparison, allowing for a comprehensive examination of how spiritual and religious dimensions are embedded in national educational concepts.

The research focused on Kyrgyzstan and selected international contexts, chosen to represent diverse historical, cultural, and religious approaches to spiritual and religious education. These contexts were selected to enable comparison between post-Soviet, secular, and pluralistic educational models, reflecting contemporary debates on spirituality, moral education, and identity formation (Taylor, 2020).

The theoretical component of the study was grounded in contemporary theological and philosophical perspectives on religious education and spiritual formation. Key conceptual frameworks included theories of spiritual formation and moral identity (Astley, 1994), post-secular interpretations of religion in public education, narrative and ethical approaches to personal development. These frameworks provided analytical tools for understanding religious education not merely as doctrinal instruction but as a formative process influencing personal values, ethical reasoning, and self-understanding.

The documentary and analytical materials included national educational policies, legal frameworks, and strategic documents regulating religious and spiritual education. In the case of Kyrgyzstan, the analysis drew on state educational standards, policy guidelines on spiritual and moral education, and regulatory documents addressing religion in public education. For international comparison, the study examined selected national education policies and curriculum frameworks that incorporate religious or spiritual dimensions of education, as reflected in comparative educational literature and international reports (UNESCO, 2021; OECD, 2021).

The empirical component consisted of qualitative content analysis of contemporary academic publications (2020–2025), international reports, and policy documents addressing religious and spiritual education and personal development. Sources included reports by international organisations, such as UNESCO and the OECD, as well as peer-reviewed theological and

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

educational studies focusing on spirituality, moral education, and identity formation (UNESCO, 2021; OECD, 2021; Shodiq et al., 2025).

A comparative case-study approach was employed to examine similarities and differences in how religious and spiritual education contributes to personal development across national contexts. Kyrgyzstan was selected due to its evolving educational and religious landscape, shaped by post-Soviet secularism, Islamic revival, and increasing religious pluralism. The international cases were included to provide broader perspectives on how spiritual education is conceptualised and implemented in different cultural and theological environments, enabling a nuanced comparison of educational models and value orientations (Volf, 2019).

To ensure reliability and validity, multiple sources were cross-referenced, and interpretations were triangulated across theological literature, policy documents, and educational research. Conceptual interpretations were verified against primary texts and authoritative scholarly works, ensuring methodological rigor and consistency with contemporary theological and educational scholarship.

Results

The results of the study demonstrate that educational institutions play a central formative role in the development and implementation of programmes aimed at cultivating ethical norms, civic responsibility, and physical culture among young people. Across the analysed contexts, schools and universities function not only as sites of knowledge transmission but as key spaces for moral, spiritual, and embodied formation, shaping learners' responsibility toward both the self and society.

The findings indicate that the integration of ethical education with physical education significantly enhances holistic personal development. Educational programmes that combine moral reflection, self-discipline, and physical training contribute to the formation of responsibility, resilience, and active citizenship. This confirms that spiritual and moral development is inseparable from physical formation, and that only their combined implementation fosters a balanced and harmonious personality capable of responding to contemporary social challenges.

The Kyrgyz Model: Integrated Spiritual, Moral, and Physical Formation

The analysis of the Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education of Personality in the Kyrgyz Republic (Republic of Kyrgyzstan, 2021) reveals a comprehensive and integrative national approach. The concept is grounded in the synthesis of traditional values (Tengrism and Islamic ethics), classical philosophical traditions (existentialism, Aristotelian virtue ethics, and Kantian moral philosophy), and modern societal challenges such as digitalisation and youth motivation.

The results show that the Kyrgyz model emphasises self-knowledge, moral virtues, and physical education as core elements of national identity formation. Practical implementation includes interdisciplinary educational programmes, project-based learning, extracurricular activities, and the symbolic use of cultural narratives such as the Epic of Manas. This approach frames personal development as a dynamic interaction between spirituality, morality, and physical well-being, rather than as isolated educational domains.

Post-Soviet Contexts: Shared Foundations and Divergent Emphases

The comparative analysis with other post-Soviet countries reveals both structural similarities and conceptual differences. Russia, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan demonstrate a shared emphasis on national identity, moral education, and social cohesion, reflecting common historical and cultural legacies.

In Russia, religious and moral education places stronger emphasis on collective morality and traditional values, with a pronounced religious component rooted in Orthodox philosophy, while

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

physical education remains institutionally separate. Kazakhstan's approach prioritises the development of "mentally, physically, and spiritually developed" human capital, integrating national values with global educational standards, though with less explicit philosophical depth. Uzbekistan's Tarbiya framework foregrounds moral upbringing and national pride, with a more pronounced religious-cultural orientation and comparatively limited focus on physical education.

In contrast, Kyrgyzstan's model demonstrates a more explicit synthesis of spirituality, morality, and physical formation, positioning physical education as an essential component of spiritual and moral development rather than as a parallel domain.

Western Models: Ethical Formation without Spiritual-Physical Integration

The analysis of Western initiatives (United States, United Kingdom, and Germany) reveals a different pattern. In these contexts, character and values education is predominantly secular, individual-oriented, and evidence-based, focusing on ethical reasoning, civic virtues, and psychological well-being.

In the United States, character education programmes emphasise responsibility, respect, and community engagement through practical tools such as service learning and school-wide initiatives. In the United Kingdom, virtue ethics grounded in Aristotelian philosophy informs character education frameworks, particularly within higher education, with strong emphasis on flourishing and resilience. Germany's ethics education is firmly rooted in Kantian moral philosophy and democratic values, prioritising critical thinking and tolerance within a secular educational structure.

While these models share philosophical commonalities with the Kyrgyz concept—particularly in their ethical foundations—they generally lack an explicit integration of spiritual traditions and physical education into a unified framework of personal development.

Comparative Patterns and Key Findings

Across all examined contexts, the results identify several consistent patterns:

- Ethical education is universally recognised as a core component of personal development.
- National models differ significantly in the degree to which spirituality and physical education are integrated into ethical formation.
- Post-Soviet models emphasise collective identity and cultural continuity, while Western models prioritise individual autonomy and moral reasoning.
-The Kyrgyz model uniquely positions spiritual, moral, and physical development as interdependent dimensions of personality formation.

These findings suggest that the Kyrgyz approach represents a distinctive integrative model within contemporary educational discourse, combining traditional values, philosophical reflection, and physical education to address modern societal challenges.

Implications for Personal Development and Civic Formation

The results confirm that effective spiritual and moral education requires a holistic framework in which ethical reflection, spiritual self-understanding, and physical discipline mutually reinforce one another. Educational institutions that adopt such integrated approaches are better positioned to foster active, responsible, and socially engaged citizens. The comparative evidence underscores that fragmented educational strategies are less effective in cultivating resilient and ethically grounded personalities in an increasingly complex and globalised world

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Table 1. Comparative analysis of national concepts of spiritual and moral education and personal development Source: compiled by the author.

Country / Concept	Main Goals and Components	Philosophical / Cultural Foundations	Practical Implementation	Similarities with Kyrgyzstan	Differences from Kyrgyzstan
Kyrgyzstan Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education (2021)	Formation of personal and national identity, ethical norms, civic responsibility; integration of spirituality, morality, and physical well-being; response to the value crisis under globalisation	Existentialism (Sartre, Camus), virtue ethics (Aristotle), Kantian moral philosophy; Tengrism and Islamic (Sufi) ethics; self-knowledge as a path to harmony (Republic of Kyrgyzstan, 2021)	Integration into school curricula through interdisciplinary modules, extracurricular projects, reflective practices; use of national cultural narratives (Epic of Manas); youth-oriented digital tools	—	—
Russia Foundations of Religious Cultures and Secular Ethics (Republic of Russia, 2012); Spiritual and Moral Culture (Republic of Russia, 2026)	Moral values, tolerance, patriotism; resistance to excessive secularisation; formation of a neo-traditionalist identity	Orthodox Christian philosophy, neo-Kantian ethics; post-secular paradigm and collective morality (Borzova, 2023)	Mandatory school module; ethics seminars; expansion into broader spiritual culture courses to reinforce traditional values	Shared post-Soviet context; emphasis on identity, moral education, and social cohesion	Stronger religious (Orthodox) dominance; weaker physical education component; explicit critique of Western liberal norms
Uzbekistan Tarbiya Concept (Republic of Uzbekistan, 2019); moral education reforms	Moral upbringing, patriotism, national pride; secularised religious ethics; social harmony	Islamic ethical traditions combined with state-led secular modernisation (Yuldasheva, 2024; Abdullaev, 2021)	Unified school subject Tarbiya; moral and religious content framed within secular education	Regional similarity: post-Soviet synthesis of morality and tradition	Stronger Islamic-cultural emphasis; limited physical education integration; more prescriptive educational control
United States Character Education Programs (Character. org)	Development of virtues (respect, responsibility); violence prevention; ethical decision-making	Pragmatism (Dewey, 1916); applied virtue ethics; evidence-based moral psychology (Berkowitz & Bier, 2005)	School-wide programs, service learning, role-playing, community engagement; evaluation via national principles	Shared focus on ethical values and practical moral formation	Highly secular and individualistic; minimal national-cultural grounding; limited spiritual dimension
United Kingdom Character Education Framework (Association for Character Education [ACE UK], n.d.)	Cultivation of virtues for human flourishing; citizenship, resilience, well-being	Aristotelian virtue ethics; contemporary moral philosophy (Arthur et al., 2016; Schweitzer, 2020)	Teacher resources, case studies, youth social action; integration into PSHE and higher education	Common philosophical roots (Aristotle); holistic ethical development (Nicolaides, A. & Dludla, N. 2023)	Limited physical and national-cultural components; strong focus on evidence-based virtue metrics
Germany Werteerziehung within Ethikunterricht (Kultusminister konferenz, 2008)	Ethical reasoning, tolerance, democratic values; civic responsibility	Enlightenment ethics (Kant); post-war civic humanism (Hart, 2022)	Mandatory ethics courses; dialogical learning; intercultural exchanges	Shared Kantian ethical foundations (Nicolaides, 2022)	Strict secularism; emphasis on critical reasoning over spirituality; absence of physical-spiritual synthesis

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Comparative Interpretation of National Concepts of Spiritual and Moral Education

The concepts of spiritual and moral education presented in the comparative table demonstrate a significant diversity of approaches shaped by historical traditions, cultural specificities, state priorities, and varying degrees of secularization. Despite these differences, all models share a common objective: to promote sustainable societal development through the formation of core values, civic responsibility, moral agency, and cultural identity.

Kyrgyzstan: An Integrative Model of Spiritual, Moral, and Physical Development

The Kyrgyz Republic prioritizes a holistic model of personal development that integrates spiritual, moral, and physical dimensions. The Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education of the Individual reflects an intentional synthesis of traditional cultural values—such as the Epic of Manas and elements of Tengrian worldview (Tengrian cosmology is the worldview and ancient spiritual tradition of the Turkic and Mongolic peoples of Central Asia. It explains the structure of the universe, humanity's place within it, and the relationship between the natural, spiritual, and human worlds).—with classical philosophical traditions, including Aristotelian virtue ethics, Kantian moral philosophy, and existentialist thought (Salmorbekova, 2025). This synthesis renders the Kyrgyz model multilayered, oriented not only toward moral regulation but also toward self-knowledge, existential reflection, and anthropological harmony. A distinctive feature of the Kyrgyz approach is the explicit inclusion of physical education within the framework of spiritual and moral formation. This reflects a culturally embedded understanding of the interdependence between bodily discipline and spiritual maturity, a characteristic feature of Turkic philosophical and ethical traditions. From a theological-anthropological perspective, such integration affirms an embodied vision of human flourishing.

Russia: A Religio-Centered Neo-Traditionalist Model

The Russian model is characterized by a strengthened religious component, with Orthodox Christianity functioning as a central ideological reference point, complemented by the concept of “spiritual sovereignty.” Courses such as Foundations of Religious Cultures and Secular Ethics and the planned discipline Spiritual and Moral Culture (from 2026) combine moral pedagogy with cultural nationalism and neo-traditionalism (Borzova, 2023). In comparison with Kyrgyzstan, Russia places greater emphasis on collective identity, patriotism, and civilizational autonomy, while allocating less attention to physical development and individual self-reflection.

Kazakhstan: Balancing National Values and Global Competitiveness

Kazakhstan’s approach is oriented toward the formation of globally competitive human capital. Spiritual and moral education is integrated into broader educational modernization strategies aimed at improving quality, efficiency, and international competitiveness (Republic of Kyrgyzstan, 2022). Unlike the philosophically grounded Kyrgyz model, Kazakhstan adopts a more pragmatic, socio-economic orientation. National values are instrumentalized within development strategies, with particular emphasis on language policy and employability rather than existential or spiritual self-formation.

Uzbekistan: Spiritual Modernization with an Islamic Emphasis

Uzbekistan’s Tarbiya concept integrates Islamic moral norms with secular modernization principles, producing a model that is more explicitly religious than those of Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan, yet less theologically rigid than the Russian approach (Abdullaev, 2021). In contrast to Kyrgyzstan, the Uzbek model places less emphasis on physical education and greater focus on behavioral regulation, moral supervision, and the formation of a nationally oriented citizen.

United States: An Individualistic, Secular, Evidence-Based Model

Character Education programs in the United States represent the most secular and pragmatic model among those examined. Their defining features include individualism, value-neutral language, practical pedagogical tools (case studies, service learning, volunteering), and systematic evaluation through empirical methods (Berkowitz & Bier, 2005). Compared to Kyrgyzstan, the U.S. model lacks

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

a deep philosophical or spiritual foundation rooted in national tradition. Instead, it emphasizes "universal virtues" and program effectiveness measured through evidence-based assessment.

United Kingdom: Virtue Ethics as a Foundation for Holistic Development

The British model bears philosophical similarities to the Kyrgyz approach, particularly in its reliance on Aristotelian virtue ethics, self-knowledge, and the concept of human flourishing (eudaimonia) (Arthur et al., 2016; Darnell & Kristjánsson, 2020). However, the UK system is more academicized, research-driven, and primarily oriented toward secondary and higher education. National tradition and physical education play a comparatively limited role.

Germany: A Secular Ethical Model Focused on Democracy

Germany represents the most secularized model in the comparison. Ethics education (Ethikunterricht) emphasizes Kantian moral reasoning, critical thinking, democratic citizenship, and constitutional values, with minimal reference to spirituality or religion (Kant & Korsgaard 1998). While points of convergence with Kyrgyzstan exist in the shared Kantian ethical foundation, the German model is firmly grounded in rationalism and democratic pedagogy rather than spiritual or traditional worldviews.

Comparative Synthesis

The analysis demonstrates that Kyrgyzstan occupies a unique position by combining spirituality (Tengrian and Sufi elements), classical and modern philosophy, national cultural narratives, physical education, and contemporary digital tools.

In contrast:

- Russia emphasizes religio-traditional identity;
- Kazakhstan prioritizes global competitiveness and human capital;
- Uzbekistan focuses on moral modernization within Islamic culture;
- the United States adopts pragmatic individualism;
- the United Kingdom advances virtue ethics without strong national framing;
- Germany relies on secular democratic ethics.

The Kyrgyz concept is distinguished by the breadth of its philosophical and cultural foundations, rendering it interdisciplinary and adaptable. At the same time, this complexity demands a high level of pedagogical and methodological competence for effective implementation.

Discussion

The comparative analysis of national concepts of spiritual and moral education in Kyrgyzstan and selected international contexts reveals a shared concern with the formation of ethically responsible, socially engaged, and resilient individuals in conditions of rapid social transformation. However, the ways in which spirituality, morality, and education are conceptualized and institutionalized differ significantly depending on historical, cultural, and theological traditions.

From a theological perspective, the Kyrgyz Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education (2021) demonstrates a distinctive integrative model that combines spiritual self-knowledge, ethical formation, and physical cultivation as interdependent dimensions of human flourishing. This holistic understanding resonates with classical theological anthropology, in which the human person is viewed as an embodied, moral, and spiritual being rather than a purely cognitive or economic actor (MacIntyre, 2016). Unlike many Western secular models, the Kyrgyz approach does not strictly separate moral education from spiritual traditions, but instead embeds ethical development within culturally rooted worldviews, including Tengrian cosmology and Islamic ethical thought.

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

In post-Soviet contexts such as Russia, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan, spiritual and moral education policies reflect a post-secular turn, where religion re-enters the public sphere not primarily as confessional instruction, but as a source of moral meaning and social cohesion. This corresponds to Habermas's notion of the "post-secular society," in which secular institutions increasingly recognize the normative potential of religious traditions in addressing moral fragmentation and value pluralism (Habermas, 1995). However, the comparative findings indicate that, unlike Kyrgyzstan, these models tend to emphasize either religious-cultural identity (Russia, Uzbekistan) or human capital and competitiveness (Kazakhstan), often marginalizing the physical and existential dimensions of personal development.

Western approaches, particularly in the United States, the United Kingdom, and Germany, are predominantly grounded in secular ethical frameworks, such as virtue ethics, pragmatism, and Kantian moral philosophy. Character education and ethics instruction prioritize measurable outcomes, critical thinking, and democratic citizenship, aligning with liberal educational paradigms (Arthur et al., 2016). While these models share with Kyrgyzstan an emphasis on responsibility, autonomy, and moral agency, they largely exclude spiritual self-transcendence as a formative category, reflecting what Charles Taylor describes as the "immanent frame" of modern secular societies (Taylor, 2020). Nicolaides (2022; 2023) suggests that liberal education often stresses rational autonomy, critical inquiry, and democratic participation. Nicolaides would likely support the goals of the Kyrgyz model but he also argues that ethical education must also develop virtues such as integrity, compassion, justice, honesty, responsibility, and respect. Moral excellence cannot be reduced to intellectual competence alone.

The Kyrgyz model thus occupies an intermediate position between secular and confessional paradigms. It neither enforces religious doctrine nor fully privatizes spirituality. Instead, it reflects a contextual theology of education, where spiritual and moral formation is understood as culturally mediated and socially embedded. This aligns with contemporary theological approaches that stress lived religion, public theology, and contextual ethics as responses to globalization and cultural pluralism (Volf, 2019).

An important finding of this study is the explicit linkage between spiritual-moral education and physical culture in the Kyrgyz concept. From an academic perspective, the significance of this finding lies in the fact that many education policies address moral education and physical education separately. The Kyrgyz concept offers an example of an integrative model in which physical culture is explicitly used as a vehicle for moral and spiritual development, making it a distinctive contribution to contemporary educational policy. This integration remains underdeveloped in most comparative cases, despite growing global concerns about youth well-being, digital addiction, and psychosocial vulnerability. From a theological standpoint, the emphasis on bodily formation reinforces an incarnational understanding of the human person, affirming that moral and spiritual development cannot be separated from embodied practices and communal disciplines (Smith, 2016; Wenas & Verana, 2024).

Overall, the results suggest that national concepts of spiritual and moral education function not only as pedagogical frameworks, but also as theological narratives of identity, articulating how societies understand the human person, the common good, and the role of tradition in modernity. The Kyrgyz case appears to offer a somewhat distinctive perspective and it contributes to the global theological discourse by offering a non-Western, post-Soviet example of holistic education that seeks to reconcile tradition, modernity, and globalization without collapsing into either secular reductionism or religious exclusivism.

Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that national concepts of spiritual and moral education function not merely as educational policies, but as normative frameworks that articulate deeper anthropological, ethical, and theological assumptions about the human person and society. Through a comparative analysis of Kyrgyzstan and selected post-Soviet and Western countries, the article has shown that

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

approaches to spiritual and moral development are shaped by distinct historical experiences, philosophical traditions, and theological imaginaries.

The Concept of Spiritual and Moral Development and Physical Education of the Individual in the Kyrgyz Republic (2021) represents a distinctive holistic model that integrates spirituality, ethical formation, and physical education as inseparable dimensions of personal development. Unlike predominantly secular Western models of character education or ethics instruction, the Kyrgyz approach maintains an open engagement with spiritual traditions while remaining within a non-confessional and inclusive educational framework. This positions the Kyrgyz model within a broader post-secular discourse, where spirituality is recognized as a legitimate public resource for moral formation without undermining pluralism or religious freedom.

The comparative findings highlight that post-Soviet countries tend to emphasize identity-building and social cohesion in response to globalization and value fragmentation, while Western countries prioritize individual autonomy, civic virtues, and evidence-based pedagogical outcomes. However, the Kyrgyz case contributes a unique perspective by explicitly linking spiritual and moral education with physical culture, thereby affirming an embodied and relational understanding of human flourishing. From a theological standpoint, this reflects an implicit incarnational anthropology, where moral agency, spiritual self-knowledge, and bodily discipline are mutually reinforcing rather than analytically separable (Smith, 2016).

The study also underscores the importance of contextual theology in educational research. Rather than applying universalized models of moral or religious education, the Kyrgyz concept illustrates how local traditions—such as Tengrian cosmology, Islamic ethical thought, and national cultural narratives—can be reinterpreted to address contemporary challenges, including digitalization, youth motivation, and social fragmentation. In this sense, the Kyrgyz experience contributes to global theological debates on education, identity, and the common good, particularly from the perspective of non-Western and post-Soviet societies. By combining spiritual-moral education with physical culture, the concept seeks to educate the whole person rather than focusing solely on academic achievement. It recognizes that ethical behavior, emotional wellbeing, and physical health can reinforce one another. It also encourages character formation through physical activity. In addition, it supports long-term wellbeing since physical health and mental wellbeing are closely linked. Integrating these domains contributes to a healthier lifestyle and stronger personal resilience. Many educational traditions consider that the development of mind, body, and spirit are inseparable. The Kyrgyz framework incorporates this perspective by positioning physical culture as part of broader personal and social development.

In conclusion, this article contributes to theological and interdisciplinary scholarship by offering a comparative, context-sensitive analysis of spiritual and moral education as a field where theology, ethics, and public policy intersect. The findings suggest that future research should focus on empirical evaluations of the implementation of such concepts in diverse educational settings, as well as on the development of innovative pedagogical approaches that foster holistic human development in an increasingly plural and post-secular world.

References

Abdullaev, A. (2021). Modernization of Islamic values in the development of civil society. *Society and Innovations*, 2(3/S), 34–42. https://doi.org/10.47689/2181-1415-vol2-iss3/S-pp34-42

Ali Imron, Budiman, Bud setyono, Hayati & Sanmas (2025). The impact of Islamic religious education on students' spiritual and social development*. International Journal of Research in Education*, 5(1), 157–167. https://doi.org/10.26877/ijre.v5i1.1341

Ali, M. (2025). The role of religious education in shaping the character of law students. *ISTIQRA: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian,* 13(1), 83–92. https://doi.org/10.24239/ist.v13i1.4498

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Aristotle. (2011). *Nicomachean ethics* (R. C. Bartlett & S. D. Collins, Trans.). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Arthur, J., Kristjánsson, K., Harrison, T., Sanderse, W., & Wright, D. (2016). *Teaching Character and Virtue in Schools*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315695013

Astley, J. (1994). *The philosophy of Christian religious education*. Religious Education Press.

Association for Character Education (ACE UK). (n.d.). Home. https://character-education.org.uk

Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2005). *What works in character education: A research-driven guide for educators.* Character Education Partnership.

Borzova, E. P., & Kovalev, A. A. (2023). Spiritual and moral foundations of Christian doctrine and its development in the history of Russian Orthodox thought. *Sociopolitical Sciences*, 13(5), 102–110. https://doi.org/10.33693/2223-0092-2023-13-5-102-110

Cejuela, M. G. (2025). Exploring the impact of spiritual formation on students' well-being: A literature review. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation,* 12(4), 376–384. https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.12040036

Character.org. (n.d.). Home — Character.org. https://character.org/

Darnell, C. A., & Kristjánsson, K. (Eds.). (2020). *Virtues and virtue education in theory and practice: Are virtues local or universal?* Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429343131

Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education.* New York, NY: Macmillan.
Grimmitt, M. (Ed.). (2000). *Pedagogies of religious education: Case studies in the research and development of good pedagogic practice in RE.* McCrimmons.

Grimmitt, M. (1987). *Religious education and human development: The relationship between studying religions and personal, social and moral education.* McCrimmons.

Habermas, J. (1995). *Postmetaphysical thinking: Between metaphysics and the critique of reason.* Polity Press.

Hart, W.D. (2022). Humanism and Education. In: Hart, W.D. (eds) Educating Humanists. *Studies in Humanism and Atheism.* Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88527-4_1

Kant, I., & Korsgaard, C. M. (1998). *Kant: Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*. (M. Gregor, Ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511809590

Kultusministerkonferenz. (2008, February 22). Zur Situation des Ethikunterrichts in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland – Bericht der Kultusministerkonferenz. https://www.kmk.org/fileadmin/veroeffentlichungen_beschluesse/2008/2008_02_22-Situation-Ethik-Unterricht.pdf

MacIntyre, A. (2016). *Ethics in the conflict of modernity: An essay on desire, practical reasoning, and narrative*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Mungafif, M. (2025). Islamic religious education and moral education: The foundation of children's character in the age of disruption. *Global Education Journal,* 3(1), Article 895. https://doi.org/0.59525/gej.v3i1.895

Nicolaides, A. (2022). Duty, Human Rights and Wrongs and the Notion of Ubuntu as Humanist Philosophy and Metaphysical Connection, *Athens Journal of Law*, 8(2).

Nicolaides, A. & Dludla, N. (2023). Virtue Ethics and Ubuntu in Leadership towards the Promotion of Ethical Organisational Operations, *Athens Journal of Business & Economics*, 9(3)

Norboeva, S. M., & Abdullayeva, M. M. (2021). Use of moral principles in the development of spiritual and moral education in future teachers. *European Scholar Journal*, 2(12). Retrieved from https://scholarzest.com/index.php/esj/article/view/1562

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2021). *Education Policy Outlook 2021: Shaping responsive and resilient education in a changing world.* OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/75e40a16-en

Republic of Kyrgyzstan. (2021, July 24). Decree of the President of the Kyrgyz Republic No. 313: On approval of the Concept of spiritual and moral development and physical education of the individual. *Centralised Bank of Legal Information of the Kyrgyz Republic.* Retrieved from https://cbd.minjust.gov.kg/430539/edition/1084953/ru

Republic of Kazakhstan. (2022). Education Development Concept 2022–2026. *Ministry of Education and Science.* Retrieved from https://primeminister.kz/assets/media/ism-0111.pdf

Republic of Russia. (2012). Foundations of Religious Cultures and Secular Ethics. *Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation.* Retrieved from https://xn--118-5cd3cgu2f.xn--p1ai/file/osnovyireligioznyixkul-turisvetskoyyetiki.pdf

Republic of Russia. (2026). Spiritual and Moral Culture of Russia [New curriculum subject announced]. *Ministry of Education of the Russian Federation.* Retrieved from https://минобрнауки.рф

Republic of Uzbekistan. (2019). Concept of continuous spiritual education and measures for its implementation. *Cabinet of Ministers Resolution No. 1059, 31 December 2019*. Retrieved from https://lex.uz/docs/4676845

Salmorbekova, R. B., Toktorbekova, N. A., & Karasheva, N. K. (2025). *Problems of spiritual and moral development of modern personality in Kyrgyzstan: Philosophical aspects.* Voprosy Filosofii, (3), 183–190. https://doi.org/10.21146/0042-8744-2025-3-183-190

Sartre, J.-P. (1946/1974). *Existentialism is a humanism* (P. Mairet, Trans.). London, UK: Methuen.

Schapiro, T. (2021). *Feeling like it: A theory of inclination and will.* Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198862932.001.0001

Schweitzer, A. (2020). *The philosophy of civilization* (V. E. Howard, Trans.). New York, NY: Prometheus Books.

Shodiq, S. F., Rahman, A., & Hidayat, M. (2025). The impact of religious education on students' mental health and personality development. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1523461. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1523461

Siswantara, Y., & Supriyadi, T. (2024). Religious character education: Students' perspectives on religion in diversity. *International Journal of Religion,* 5(11), 1811–1826. https://doi.org/10.61707/vtmkt536

Smith, J. K. A. (2016). *You are what you love: The spiritual power of habit.* Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press.

Taylor, C. (2020). *The meaning of secularism.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

UNESCO. (2021, September 24). *World education report: Education for sustainable development.* UNESCO. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org

Volf, M. (2019). *Religion in an age of globalization*. London, UK: Routledge.

Volf, M. (2015). *Flourishing: Why we need religion in a globalized world.* Yale University Press.

Wenas, J. C., & Verana, K. (2024). The role of religious education in shaping the character of the younger generation. *International Journal of Christian Education and Philosophical Inquiry*, 1(2), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.61132/ijcep.v1i2.303

Xabilova, S. T. qizi, & Nazarova, M. (2023). Tarbiya turlari va ularning shaxs kamolotiga ta'siri. *Educational Research in Universal Sciences,* 2(10 Special), 94–96. https://erus.uz/index.php/er/article/view/3809

Yuldasheva, D. (2024). Harmony of religious and secular values in the spiritual and moral education of youth. *Pubmedia Social Sciences and Humanities,* 3(1), Article 446. https://doi.org/10.47134/pssh.v3i1.446

***Conflict of Interest Statement**: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.*

***This article is open-access and** distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence CC BY: credit must be given to the creator, the title and the license the work is under. This license enables re-users to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, so long as attribution is given to the creator.*