Pharos Journal of Theology ISSN 2414-3324 online Volume 107 (4) Regular Issue (2026)
Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Ecclesial Identity and Social Strain: Orthodox Christianity through the Lens of Social Capital

Rev Fr Prof Angelo Nicolaides
Research Fellow
Department of Philosophy and Applied Ethics
University of Zululand, South Africa
Orcid.org/0000-0002-2153-2853

Rev Fr Dr Vasile Razvan Tatu
Romanian Orthodox Church
Holy Archdiocese of Johannesburg and Pretoria

https://doi.org/10.46222/pharosjot.107.401

Abstract

Religion behaves as a powerful theological, moral, and social force capable of advancing both social cohesion and social conflict. Orthodox Christianity, deeply rooted in patristic theology, sacramental life, and deep historical continuity, characterizes this paradox with unique clarity. While Orthodox theology emphasizes *agape*, peace, humility, and reconciliation, Orthodox Christian communities have also been implicated in social division, exclusion, and political conflict, especially where religious identity intersects with ethnicity, nationalism, and state power. This article critically examines these apparent contradictions through the lens of social capital theory, integrating Orthodox theological reflection with African and Global South perspectives. It argues that Orthodox Christianity generates strong bonding social capital that fosters moral formation and communal solidarity, yet according to some, this same capital may, when not balanced by bridging social capital, contribute to social fragmentation and strife. Irrespective of the really miniscule negative assertions, the Orthodox Church is doing great work in its global mission, and of course in Africa, organizing Divine Liturgies, baptisms, sacraments, catechesis, and dedicated pastoral care across many African nations, helping communities grow spiritually and finding true meaning through the Orthodox faith. The article concludes by proposing a theologically grounded rebalancing of social capital within Orthodoxy, drawing on patristic ethics, ecclesiology, and contemporary African theological insights. By rebalancing association and connecting social capital in light of *agape*, communion, and human dignity, Orthodox Christianity can, despite its monumental historical strides, more fully attain its vocation as a major mediator of peace in what is progressively a fragmented world.

This study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, it is primarily a conceptual and theological inquiry rather than an empirical investigation. Its conclusions are derived from theological reflection, social capital theory, and existing scholarly literature rather than from fieldwork, surveys, interviews, or quantitative analyses of Orthodox Christian communities including Greek and Romanians. Second, the study applies social capital theory as its principal analytical framework. Although this perspective provides valuable insights into the relationship between ecclesial identity and social cohesion, it does not fully capture the complexity of historical, political, economic, and cultural factors that also shape religious communities and social conflict. Finally, the study focuses primarily on the constructive role of Orthodox theology in promoting social cohesion and peace. A possible avenue for future research emerging from this study is that empirical investigations employing qualitative and quantitative methods could examine how bonding, bridging, and linking social capital function within Orthodox Christian communities across different cultural and national contexts.

Open Rubric

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Comparative studies involving Orthodox communities in Africa, Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and the diaspora would provide valuable evidence for assessing the applicability of the proposed theoretical framework.

Keywords: Orthodox Christianity, social capital, theology, conflict, peace, bonding capital, African theology.

Introduction

Orthodox Christianity occupies a unique position within universal Christianity due to its compelling highlighting on continuity with apostolic tradition, sacramental theology, and a collective ecclesial life. Across Eastern Europe, the Middle East, and Africa, Orthodoxy has operated as a carrier of cultural memory, moral distinctiveness, and national consciousness (Ware, 1997; McGuckin, 2011). At times though, Orthodox Christianity has been entwined with issues of political authority, ethnic identity, and social authority, yielding consequences that appear to conflict with key ethical commitments to peace and love. The African and Global South contexts intensify this paradox. In Africa, Christianity - including Orthodox traditions such as the Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahedo Church - has generally influenced social organization, offered resistance to colonial control, and provided education, and moral development (Bediako, 2004; Sanneh, 2008). The Church established schools attached to monasteries and churches, teaching literacy, theology, and also moral instruction. The schools thus enabled local communities, and preserved the indigenous culture and religious identity during periods of colonial influence such as under Italian attempts at colonisation under Mussolini, while nurturing ethical development amongst students (Bediako, 2004).

During the colonial and post-colonial periods, most Orthodox institutions served as centres of cultural preservation and advocacy. The Church supplied moral authority and social organization that opposed colonial policies and later political marginalization, thus safeguarding local communities preserved agency and ethical regulation established in Orthodox teachings (Sanneh, 2008). Orthodox Christianity has also positively impacted healthcare and community development in some parts of Africa, specifically through monastic and diocesan initiatives. For example, the Ethiopian Orthodox Tewahedo Church has long established hospitals, clinics, and local development projects that serve multi-faith communities, emphasizing both spiritual care and social welfare (Falk, 2010).

It has been predominantly the Greek Orthodox Church, chiefly through the efforts of the Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa, which has played a critically important role in African religious and social life by endorsing education, healthcare, and ethical formation. During the twentieth century, Greek Orthodox missions established schools, seminaries, and parishes across Southern, East and West Africa, contributing to literacy, community organization, and moral development among especially marginalized populations (Isichei, 1995). The great city of Alexandria, in Egypt, has always been well known as the most important cultural site for the ancient world, it is there where the first catechetical school was organized and flourished, making Alexandria one of the pillars of global Christianity not only Orthodox. By encouraging the use of local languages and cultural arrangements in worship, the Greek Orthodox Church has supported inculturation and local leadership, permitting Orthodoxy to operate as a truly African Christian presence rather than as an ethnic tradition (Bediako, 2004).

Method

This study employed a qualitative literature review methodology to examine the role of the Orthodox Church in Africa. Relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, books, official church documents, and credible reports were collected from databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and the Patriarchate of Alexandria website. Keywords included “Orthodox Church

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Africa," "Greek Orthodox missions," and the names of specific bishops and archdioceses. Selected sources were screened for relevance, credibility, and recency. Data from each source were extracted systematically, noting author, year, purpose, methodology, and findings. A thematic analysis was applied to organize literature into categories: leadership, mission work, and social development. Contradictory findings and research gaps were identified. Sources were critically evaluated for methodological rigor and contextual relevance. The review synthesized evidence to highlight trends, patterns, and unanswered questions in the field.

The Greek Orthodox Mission on Africa

The current Greek Orthodox Patriarch of Alexandria and All Africa is His Beatitude Theodoros II, Pope and Patriarch of Alexandria and All Africa, who succeeded Patriarch Petros VII. He has led the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria, the ancient See, traditionally founded by St. Mark the Evangelist, since his election in 2004 and he continues to oversee Orthodox life, mission, and pastoral work across the African continent with total dedication and commitment. This Patriarch, a gift from God, has focused on strengthening Orthodox presence through Africa by supporting local clergy, increasing diocesan structures, stimulating missionary work, and encouraging education and social services across miscellaneous African communities. Orthodox missions run schools and educational programmes, for example, the school and children's centre in the Central African Republic that serves orphans and susceptible children. In Kenya, Orthodox centres provide education for numerous children, blending spiritual and academic development. Plans and ongoing work are in place to build kindergartens and schools in villages (e.g., Tanzania) and to support early childhood development and community upliftment where possible.

His Beatitude Patriarch Theodoros II, incorporates pastoral visits (such as to South Africa, Zimbabwe etc.), and involvement in developing dioceses and growing Orthodox communities which are now present in many African countries – including, inter alia, the Central African Republic, DR Congo, Kenya, Tanzania, Madagascar, Guinea, Nigeria, Malawi, Zambia, Zimbabwe. Mozambique and others — with new churches, services, and the faithful being reached daily. Also critically important, is the increased representation of the Alexandrian Patriarchate in inter-Orthodox and ecumenical settings.

Orthodox monastic communities and dioceses have and do operate hospitals, clinics, and orphanages, and this is frequently in rural or underserved areas (Falk, 2010). All these institutions strengthen social cohesion and communal responsibility, while strengthening community social organization. Through its liturgical life, catechesis, and monastic practices, the Orthodox Church in Africa has encouraged needed virtues such as modesty, atonement, hospitality and communal obligation. These teachings have to a large extent shaped the ethical framework for both individual behaviour and the communal life, combining spiritual development with social moral principles (Chryssavgis, 2016).

Modern Orthodox missions include establishing seminaries (e.g., in Kenya) where His Eminence Metropolitan Seraphim (Kykkotis) has played a great role in his calling. There has been translating of liturgical texts into many African languages to make worship more accessible for indigenous populations and many other very positive initiatives. Archbishop Seraphim (Kykkotis) is a senior hierarch of the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa, serving in southern Africa. He is the Metropolitan (Archbishop) of Zimbabwe and Angola and Exarch of Southern Africa, within the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa. In this role he represents the Patriarchate in church events and inter-church relations and oversees Orthodox mission and pastoral work in those countries.

In South Africa, His Eminence Sergius (Kykkotis) is the Archbishop (Metropolitan) of the Archdiocese of the Cape of Good Hope under the Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

and All Africa, based in Cape Town. He has been doing a sterling job throughout his service in his God-given calling and is the presiding hierarch of the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese covering the Western Cape and neighbouring regions. He has a huge role and responsibility overseeing Orthodox parishes and missions in the southern and southwestern regions of Africa, including, the Western Cape, Eastern Cape, Northern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Free State in South Africa, and also the countries of Lesotho, Namibia and Eswatini (Swaziland). He is the chief Orthodox bishop in these regions and serves as a key figure in guiding church life, liturgy, pastoral care, mission work, and community development.

In the Gauteng Province in South Africa, His Eminence Damaskinos (Papandreou), the Archbishop of the Archdiocese of Johannesburg and Pretoria, Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa, has led the archdiocese since his enthronement in 2010 and oversees Greek Orthodox parishes in the Gauteng region and surrounding areas with great dedication and passion. His jurisdiction covers the Gauteng, Limpopo, and Mpumalanga regions of South Africa, where he provides spiritual leadership for Orthodox parishes, clergy, and communities.

In Ethiopia, His Eminence Petros, Metropolitan of Axoum, leads the archdiocese, looking after the spiritual life of the communities there and in Eritrea. He is a truly blessed cleric with much drive and determination to serve the Lord.

These efforts of only some Archbishops are merely a few examples to illustrate how Orthodox institutions in Africa have shaped social organization, provided practical resistance to socio-political marginalization, and fostered moral and ethical formation alongside education. Through their efforts, the Orthodox Church is sharing its faith and serving people's substantial needs within many African contexts.

Yet, religion in Africa has also been implicated in conflict, exclusion, and politicized identity formation (Gifford, 2015). However, Orthodox theology supplies enduring resources for ethical engagement with the patristic accent on repentance, humility, and communion (*koinonia*) and it thus offers a framework for transcending all social, ethnic, and political divisions (Zizioulas, 1985). This brief article investigates how Orthodox Christianity can concurrently support both social accord and social strife. Using social capital theory as an analytical framework, it integrates Orthodox theology, sociology of religion, and African theological perspectives in order to validate that this dichotomy is neither inadvertent nor uncharacteristic but structurally rooted in religious life.

Social Capital Theory and Theological Thinking

When speaking of Social Capital, this refers to the means rooted in social relationships that enable collaboration, confidence, and cooperative actions (Coleman, 1988). Putnam (2000) distinguishes between bonding social capital, which supports ties within homogeneous groups, and bridging social capital, which links varied groups across a range of social limits.

Viewed theologically, religious conviction is distinctively set to create social capital because it models moral norms, group identity, and common meaning (Berger, 2014). Churches, liturgies, and religious narratives all nurture faith and cohesion, but they can also sadly identify boundaries that bar others. Orthodox Christianity is however for the most part, remarkably efficient at producing bonding social capital due to its sacramental life, robust ecclesiology, and stress on communal devotion (Zizioulas, 1985). Nevertheless, when bonding capital develops dominance lacking corresponding openness to bridging capital, the Church faces a risk of developing into a socially insular entity, becoming ethically apologetic, and politically instrumentalized.

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Orthodox Christianity as a Fountain of Social Solidarity

Patristic Institutions of Harmony and Communion

Drawing on the Church Fathers, Orthodoxy identifies harmony not as standardization but as the ordered collaboration of distinct persons united in love, modelled on the relational life of the Holy Trinity, "the structure of supreme love" (Stăniloae, 1996). This is the heart of Orthodox social thought: One God in Three Persons, united without confusion or division. It is not a mere abstract concept, but the ultimate model of human community. Zizioulas (1985) gives emphasis to the notion personhood which is attained through communion, meaning ethical responsibility arises from the recognition of others as fellow members of the body of Christ. We do not exist first as individuals who choose on a later stage to associate with others, but come fully to ourselves only in communion with others. This ecclesial vision, is articulated through conciliation and the Eucharist, encourages reconciliation and cooperative engagement, even during social, ethnic, or cultural diversity challenge periods.

Meyendorff (1983) notes that the patristic understanding of the Church as a sacramental communion generates a framework for ethical deeds that transcend individual or group self-interests, empowering Orthodox Christians to contribute beneficially in pluralistic societies while enduring as faithful to their tradition. The patristic institutions of accord and communion serve not only liturgical and spiritual objectives but also guide anticipated social ethics and diverse action. The ethical image of Orthodox Christianity is profoundly embedded in patristic theology, and the Church Fathers constantly stressed the need for peace, humility, and love as being central marks of a Christian life. St. Basil the Great and St. John Chrysostom equally highlighted social accountability, care for the poor, and the moral hazards of wealth accumulation at the expense of others, and injustices that are perpetrated (Chrysostom, trans. 1984). The Church Fathers never viewed salvation as being individualistic but rather communal. Human prosperity was seen relationally, grounded in communion (*koinonia*) with God and one's neighbour.

Ecclesiology and Collective Character

Orthodox ecclesiology underlines the Church as the Body of Christ, constituted through Eucharistic communion instead of contractual association (Zizioulas, 1985). Participation in the Divine Liturgy strengthens a collective identity that rises above class, ethnicity, and status, at least in belief. Participation in the Divine Liturgy reinforces a shared Christian distinctiveness that transcends class, ethnicity, and social status by integrating all believers equally into the Body of Christ through the Holy Eucharist. In the Divine Liturgy, differences of wealth, power, and ethnic derivation are relativised as all approach the same vessel, symbolizing St Paul's vision that "there is neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free" (Galatians 3:28). Schmemann (1973) argues that the Eucharist exposes the Church as a foretaste of the Kingdom of God, where human divides are conquered by communion.

Correspondingly, Taft (2006) lays emphasis on the essentially communal nature of Orthodox worship, in which joint prayers and behaviours form a collective ecclesial character rather than individual identity, or hierarchical status. While social divisions may endure in practice, the Divine Liturgy repeatedly presents a normative image of harmony and fairness, calling the faithful to atonement and transformation.

This ecclesial concept contributes to successful bonding social capital by developing faithfulness, mutual concern, and shared moral standards. In African Orthodox contexts,

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

specifically Ethiopia, the Church has historically served as a unifying cultural institution, protecting language, learning, and the social organisation (Tadros, 2011).

Orthodox Diakonia, Philanthropy, and Ubuntu

Orthodox Christianity's commitment to *diakonia* expresses faith through social action. Charitable institutions, parish-based assistance, and informal networks of support strengthen communal resilience (Nicolaides & Grobler, 2017). Such practices resound strongly with African ethical notions such as *Ubuntu*, which underline relational personhood, compassion, and mutual care (Tutu, 1999). When Orthodox ethics align with indigenous African values, religion becomes a formidable force for social harmony and moral cohesion.

In Orthodox theology and practice, acts of philanthropy are not merely considered to be optional add-ons but sacramental extensions of worship. This interpretation aligns with the patristic concept of *philanthropia* (love of humanity), accentuated by Church Fathers such as St. John Chrysostom, who instructed that helping the poor is serving Christ Himself (Holman, 2001). Orthodox philanthropy, therefore, is personal and transformative rather than simply benevolent. This notion strongly parallels with *Ubuntu*, the African philosophy summarized by the phrase "I am because we are." The African theologian John Mbiti (1969) contends that African anthropology concentrates on communal identity over individual sovereignty, a view closely aligned with the basic Orthodox theology of personhood. Analogously, Nobel laureate Desmond Tutu (1999) labels Ubuntu as an ethic of mutual care, compassion, and shared humanity, where one's well-being is intrinsically attached to that of others. Zizioulas (1985) confirms this perspective by maintaining that personhood is established through communion, and not isolation. Jointly, these traditions acknowledge that humanity is accomplished through relationship and responsibility and care for others and the natural God-given environment.

Some practical illustrations of Orthodox *diakonia* demonstrate this junction with lived reality. In Africa, the Orthodox Church has engaged in education, healthcare, and development projects across the continent, that serve communities regardless of one's religious affiliation. The Patriarchate of Alexandria supports many schools, clinics, and agricultural programmes across sub-Saharan Africa, personifying Ubuntu's highlighting on communal thriving. These projects advance dignity and empowerment as opposed to dependency. A further example is International Orthodox Christian Charities (IOCC), which operates widely in African cointries such as for example, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Uganda. IOCC delivers food security, clean water, healthcare, and emergency assistance to all in need, whether Christian, Muslim, or traditional religious communities. This comprehensive attitude indicates both Orthodox *diakonia* and Ubuntu ethics by establishing shared humanity beyond confessional boundaries (Ware, 2004). Through its sacramental vision of service, Orthodox *diakonia* encourages charity that is deeply personal, collective, and transformative in nature. When lived authentically, Orthodoxy, then, specifically in dialogue with African theological insights, resounds forcefully with Ubuntu, extending a model of ethical engagement established in communion, empathy, and shared human dignity.

Orthodox Christianity and the Approval of Contention

Connection and Exclusion

While connecting social capital supports internal organization, it can also encourage segregation. Orthodox Christian identity has at times been interconnected with ethnicity and nationalism, yielding firm borderlines between those who are viewed as "insiders" and "outsiders" (Roudometof, 2011). In such situations, religious belonging develops into a indicator of political allegiance and cultural purity rather than into a collective ethical calling. This is evident in especially Eastern Europe, the Balkan states, and some parts of Africa,

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

where Orthodoxy has been organised to legitimize social orders and political agendas (Makrides, 2012). Nonetheless, the Orthodox Church links people of miscellaneous faiths and backgrounds through the lived practices embedded in its theology of personhood, generosity, negotiation, and service. These methods move well beyond mere abstract principle and are expressed in numerous concrete historical and current instances.

An example is the Orthodox ethic of hospitality (*philoxenia*), is evident in modern responses to migration and refugee crises. For example, all through the Syrian refugee crisis, the Ecumenical Patriarchate Bartholomew and the Orthodox Church of Greece delivered food, shelter, and encouragement for refugees notwithstanding any religious affiliation, and the church worked together with Muslim and secular organizations (Chryssavgis, 2016). Patriarch Bartholomew's has made a point in public settings highlighting the need to welcome the stranger and this is framed in humanitarian care and provided as a theological obligation rather than a political preference. Another example is the Orthodox involvement in global interreligious and ecumenical dialogue.

The Orthodox Church has been a very active member of the World Council of Churches, engaging in continuous conversations with Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, and Muslim communities. Orthodox representatives have taken part in Christian–Muslim discussions in the Middle East, pursuing peaceful collaboration in regions marked by religious conflict (Ware, 1993). Such encounters exhibit how Orthodoxy connects global communities through reverent engagement without compromising doctrinal integrity.

Patriarch Bartholomew is often referred to as the "Green Patriarch" and Orthodox Christianity has affiliated with scientists, politicians, and religious leaders of different faiths to focus on serious ecological degradation. Numerous international environmental conferences have been hosted by the Ecumenical Patriarchate to bring together Orthodox Christians, Catholics, Jews, Muslims, and secular specialists, generating a shared ethical stage grounded in the dire need to care for creation in which humans are the custodians (Chryssavgis, 2016). Patriarch Daniel of Romania is another prominent personality, who even before his election and enthronement as head of the Romanian Orthodox Church, started and completed several religious, cultural and inter-church projects, promoting the spiritual life, academic research, conferences, foundation of the Basilica news agency, making theology and Orthodox culture accessible to the public and diaspora (where many new parishes were started). The great People's Salvation Cathedral stands as yet another great symbolic achievement for the generations to come and the Orthodox patrimonium in general from a social capital perspective. In addition, numerous International Orthodox Christian Charities (IOCC) participate in multi-faith environments, granting disaster relief and development aid to people of all faiths. Such an method characterizes what Ware (2004) calls "the liturgy after the liturgy," where Eucharistic communion reaches out into acts of service that unite all peoples through empathy and shared humanity. All these cases exhibit that Orthodox commitment to serve diversity is not a withdrawal from holy tradition but importantly a huge expression of its deepest theological responsibilities to spread love, communion, and support the dignity of all humanity.

Church–State Relations

Historically, Orthodox Christianity developed within imperial and state-supported frameworks. While this relationship offered protection and institutional continuity, it also blurred the distinction between theological authority and political power (McGuckin, 2011). This was most notably in the Byzantine Empire, where the church and state were identified as cooperating within a single Christian *κοινωνία* (symphonia). This model accepted a reciprocal concord between imperial authority and ecclesial life. In this arrangement, the emperor operated as defender of Orthodoxy and the Church furnished moral and spiritual validity to political power (Meyendorff, 1983). While *κοινωνία* (lat. communio) did not suggest the subordination of the

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Church to the state in theory, in practice it nonetheless often tended to limited Orthodoxy's experience of religious pluralism and modelled its institutional hopes.

Following the fall of Constantinople in 1453 CE, Orthodox Christianity persisted within state-aligned or ethnoreligious frameworks, principally under the Ottoman millet system and later within arising nation-states in Eastern Europe and Russia. Runciman (1977) notes, Orthodoxy became a fundamental indicator of communal uniqueness, reinforcing close linkages between beliefs, ethnicity, and political authority. The inheritance of imperial and national affiliation persists in shaping contemporary Orthodox self-understanding. Papkova (2011) argues that post-communist Orthodox churches have often pursued revived state partnerships to rebuild moral authority. Although this historical model bestowed some stability and connection, it also obscured Orthodoxy's ethical engagement in miscellaneous societies where religious privilege is progressively challenged. There are of course those who assume that in African contexts, religious institutions have on occasion associated with political elites, aggravating social divides and weakening prophetic witness and that when theology is instrumentalized for political reasons, social capital becomes a mere tool of supremacy rather than compromise.

The Romanian Orthodox Church in Africa

The Romanian Orthodox community in Johannesburg belongs to the Romanian Orthodox Church and operates in cooperation with the Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa, whose ecclesiastical jurisdiction encompasses the African continent. The activity of the Romanian Orthodox Church in Africa is relatively limited but has an important pastoral and cultural character. Unlike the broader missionary activity of the Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa, the Romanian Church's presence is mainly connected with Romanian Orthodox communities abroad (Njoroge, 2017). The first Romanian Orthodox parish on the African continent was established in Johannesburg, South Africa, under the patronage of Saint Andrew the Apostle. The Romanian Orthodox Parish of Saint Andrew the Apostle began developing as a community in Johannesburg around the year 2000. It was officially registered in South Africa on February 7, 2002 (Romanian Orthodox Parish, n.d.).

The parish was established through the efforts of Romanian clergy and members of the Romanian Orthodox community in South Africa. Its foundation received support from the Romanian Patriarchate and from the Romanian Orthodox Metropolitanate of Western and Southern Europe (Romanian Orthodox Parish, n.d.). The parish provides regular Orthodox worship and helps Romanian immigrants maintain their religious identity and traditions. Its activities also include spiritual, social, and cultural programmes for Romanian Orthodox believers living in South Africa (Romanian Orthodox Parish, n.d.). Through these activities, the parish contributes to preserving the Romanian language, Orthodox customs, and religious traditions within the diaspora.

Fr. Mircea Mihai Corpodean was the founder and first priest and served from 2000 to 2008. He founded the Romanian Orthodox community in Johannesburg in **2000** and was instrumental in its formal registration as a parish in 2002. From 2002 to 2008, the Romanian community worshipped at St Nicholas of Japan Church in Brixton, Johannesburg, alongside Greek, African and English-speaking Orthodox Christians. Fr. Corpodean's importance is nonetheless significant as the first Romanian Orthodox parish priest in Africa.

Fr. Dr. Răzvan-Vasile Tatu has been the parish priest from 2008 to the present time and was appointed on 1 August 2008. He succeeded Fr. Corpodean as parish priest of the Romanian Orthodox Parish of St Andrew the Apostle in Johannesburg. Before coming to South Africa, Fr. Tatu taught Religion and History of Religions in Romania. He has therefore served the Romanian Orthodox community in South Africa for about 18 years. Under his leadership, the community proceeded with the development of its own property in Midrand, including the

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

construction of the first Romanian Orthodox church on the African continent. Construction began in June 2014. The Romanian Orthodox Community in South Africa is currently still pastorally served by Fr. Dr. Răzvan-Vasile Tatu as the parish priest of St Andrew the Apostle in Johannesburg.

The Romanian Orthodox presence in Africa therefore has an important role in strengthening the identity of Romanian Christians living far from Romania. At the same time, the parish functions within the wider Orthodox Christian environment of South Africa. Orthodox missionary activity in sub-Saharan Africa generally includes evangelisation, theological education, pastoral ministry, and the establishment of new dioceses and communities (Njoroge, 2017). The Romanian parish in Johannesburg demonstrates how Orthodox Christianity can also serve migrant communities while participating in the religious life of the wider African society. Its social and cultural activities provide opportunities for fellowship and for maintaining connections between Romanian Christians and their heritage. The parish's existence also demonstrates cooperation between the Romanian Orthodox Church and Orthodox ecclesiastical structures responsible for Africa i.e. the Patriarchate of Alexandria.

More broadly, Orthodox missionary work in Africa has historically developed through pastoral care, education, social assistance, and the training of local clergy (Kykkotis, 2010). The Romanian Orthodox Church's African activity should therefore be understood primarily as diaspora ministry rather than as a large-scale independent missionary movement across the continent. Johannesburg remains particularly significant because the Romanian Orthodox Parish of Saint Andrew the Apostle is described as the first and, currently, the only Romanian Orthodox parish on the African continent (Romanian Orthodox Parish, n.d.). Overall, the Romanian Orthodox Church contributes to Orthodox Christian life in Africa through pastoral care, cultural preservation, community building, and cooperation with the wider Orthodox presence on the continent.

Tradition, Fear, and Resistance to Pluralism - African and Global South Theological Viewpoints

Orthodoxy's weight on tradition and doctrinal continuity can advance opposition to pluralism, secularism, and religious variety. While tradition is critical for theological integrity, fear-driven defensiveness may point to unwanted social detachment and enmity (Kallistos Ware, 1997). Such refusal to accept is not characteristically theological but rather sociological, developing from sensed threats to identity and authority. Social capital theory helps clarify how closely connected communities may respond protectively when encountering with rapid social transformation. African theology offers some critical insights into religion's societal role. Bediako (2004) and Oduyoye (1995) accentuate that African Christianity is profoundly communal, narrative-driven, and socially rooted.

Theology thus develops from lived experiences rather than form abstract conjectures. Public theology in Africa stresses religion's faculty to model civic ethics, peacebuilding, and social accountability, and it also cautions against religious absolutism and marginalization (Forster, 2019). These viewpoints strengthen the necessity for equilibrium between uniting peoples and bridging social capital.

Orthodox Christianity in Africa, particularly in Ethiopia and among African Orthodox movements, establishes how ancient tradition can be recontextualized within homegrown cultures. At times it promotes unity, now and again reinforcing hierarchy and exclusion (Tadros, 2011). The paradox of Orthodox Christianity advocating both unity and rivalry becomes intelligible when viewed through social capital theory. The Church's theological strengths such as communal worship, its durable identity, and moral lucidity, all create powerful relational capital. Short of intentional cultivation of connecting capital, these same assets may advance

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

narrow-mindedness. From an Orthodox theological standpoint, this disparity represents a deformation of *agape*, which is inherently outward-looking and fully inclusive. The challenge is not to undermine individuality but to extend its ethical expression through generosity, discourse, and fairness.

Toward a Theologically Informed Rebalancing of Social Capital

Orthodox theology presents internal resources for addressing tensions. Patristic teachings on humility, repentance, and love of the stranger (*philoxenia*) contest exclusivist identity formation. Eucharistic theology emphasizes concord without uniformity, proposing a model for inclusive social engagement.

Patristic spirituality constantly outlines humility as being the basis of Christian life, the mother of all virtues. St. Isaac the Syrian identifies humility as the indicator of true knowledge of God, united from compassion towards all of creation (Isaac the Syrian, trans. 1984). This vision challenges triumphalism and religious dominance, reminding the Church that devotion to truth is conveyed not through supremacy but rather through self-emptying love (*kenosis*), following the supreme model of Jesus Christ. True humility offers a theological curative to nationalistic or exclusionary adoptions of Orthodoxy in present situations. Repentance (*metanoia*) further disrupts closed identity frameworks. In the patristic tradition, atonement is not simply moral adjustment but a continual redirection of the person toward God and neighbour. As Ware (1993) observes, Orthodoxy appreciates repentance as an ongoing existential perspective, promoting openness rather than defensiveness. This dynamic identification of spiritual development facilitates ethical self-assessment and awareness within pluralistic societies, without needing doctrinal negotiation.

The patristic ethic of *philoxenia*, the love of the stranger, likely offers the highest challenge to exclusivist uniqueness. Embedded in biblical and early Christian practice, hospitality is understood sacramentally, as a meeting with Christ and the other (Matthew 25), thus meaning an experiential knowledge of God. Zizioulas (1985) argues that Orthodox personhood is established relationally, proposing that frankness to the other is not optional but fundamental to ecclesial survival. In this light, anxiety-driven boundary-making opposes Orthodox ontology. Jointly, meekness, remorse, and *philoxenia* exhibit that Orthodox tradition possesses internal theological processes competent to engage with diversity ethically. When authentically exemplified, patristic virtues permit Orthodoxy to sustain its doctrinal endurance while challenging ideological closure, presenting a witness grounded in love, empathy, and a transformative positive and meaningful encounter rather than one of marginalization. In African contexts and elsewhere, incorporating Orthodox theology with indigenous values of relationality can augment bridging capital without wear away at doctrinal integrity. Interfaith dialogue, social justice initiatives, and civic participation represent practical expressions of the needed rebalancing.

Implications for Contemporary Theology and Society

Theology cannot be detached from social outcomes. Orthodox Christianity's future significance is contingent on its capacity to remain faithful to holy tradition while responding positively and ethically to diverse societies.

Orthodox Christianity's future significance is closely tied to its competence to remain faithful to its theological and liturgical tradition while engaging ethically and pastorally with multicultural and multiethnic societies. This tension between continuity and adjustment is not new within Orthodoxy, nonetheless it has become more pressing in contemporary environments marked by secularism, religious variety, and swiftly developing moral frameworks. Orthodox theology identifies tradition not as being an inactive preservation but

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

as a living link guided by the Holy Spirit. Ware (1997) highlights that tradition in Orthodoxy is not a mere replication of the past but rather the ongoing life of the Church embedded in apostolic faith. This recognition permits Orthodoxy as such, to engage with current ethical challenges including inter-alia human rights, and social justice issues without any retreat from doctrinal grounding. Consequently, faithfulness to tradition does not fundamentally prohibit ethical sensitivity; rather, it delivers the required theological resources for sound judgement.

Nevertheless, mixed societies pose substantial challenges. Orthodox Christianity has historically expanded within culturally homogeneous environments, and it is very often closely associated with national and ethnic distinctiveness. Scholars such as Meyendorff (1983) maintain that this orientation risks restricting Orthodoxy's universal witness in global multicultural societies. In order to remain important, Orthodoxy must communicate its theological anthropology and more especially its highlighting on the dignity of the human being. This should be done in ways that are understandable and beneficial within the public ethical discourse arena. Ethical engagement does not necessitate theological negotiation but demands honest discourse. Yannaras (2006) proposes that Orthodoxy's personalist ontology advances a unique input to multiethnic ethics by ordering relationality over distinctiveness. This view point can enhance dialogues on community, autonomy, and responsibility in prevailing societies. However, a failure to connect with pluralism threatens reducing Orthodoxy to social demotion or political instrumentalization.

Ultimately, the future significance of Orthodox Christianity will be influenced by its competence to represent what it asserts, that is its rich and deeply spiritual tradition that is equally faithful and transformative. Ethical sensitivity, when grounded in Orthodox theology rather than external ideological frameworks, is able to serve as a robust witness rather than as a weakening of faith. In this sense, Orthodoxy's challenge is not whether to adjust, but how to do so without losing its deep ecclesial character. Policymakers and religious leaders alike must thus recognize religion's uncertain social power. When guided by ethical reflection and self-critique, Orthodoxy can work as a force for reconciliation rather than division.

Conclusion

The key findings from the literature are that the Orthodox Church plays a significant role in spiritual, social, and educational spheres in Africa and the quality leadership of bishops is central to the success of missions. Orthodox Christianity personifies a deep social and theological enigma for some. It is concurrently seen a source of reconciliation and a contributor to tension. Through social capital theory, and one enriched by African and Global South perspectives, this article demonstrates that both outcomes surface from the same ecclesial structures and moral narratives. The Orthodox Church is doing wonderful work in its mission, organizing Divine Liturgies, baptisms, sacraments, catechesis, and pastoral care across many African nations, helping communities grow spiritually and finding meaning through the Orthodox faith. The mission of theology is not to reject this strain but to transform it. By rebalancing connection and connecting social capital in light of *agape*, communion, and human dignity, Orthodox Christianity can more fully attain its vocation as a mediator of peace in what is increasingly a broken world. A limitation of this study is that there were some challenges and gaps in the literature and only a few comparative studies across countries/archdioceses. Cultural adaptation and contextualization of Orthodoxy in Africa is seemingly underexplored. Despite the Orthodox Church's growing presence in southern and central Africa, systematic studies on its social impact, leadership strategies, and community engagement warrant further investigation.

References

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Bediako, K. (2004). *Christianity in Africa: The renewal of a non-Western religion*. Edinburgh University Press.

Berger, P. L. (2014). *The many altars of modernity*. De Gruyter.

Chrysostom, J. (1984). *On wealth and poverty* (C. P. Roth, Trans.). St Vladimir's Seminary Press.

Chryssavgis, J. (2016). *Toward an ecology of the spirit: Orthodox Christian perspectives on environment, nature, and creation*. Fordham University Press.

Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology, 94*, S95–S120.

Falk, S. J. (2010). *Orthodox Christianity in Ethiopia: Monasticism, medicine, and social welfare*. Brill.

Forster, D. (2019). Public theology in Africa. *HTS Teologiese Studies*, 75(4), 1–8.

Gifford, P. (2015). *Christianity, development and modernity in Africa*. Hurst.

Greek Orthodox Patriarchate of Alexandria and All Africa. (n.d.). In World Orthodox Directory. Retrieved from https://orthodox-world.org/en/d/8/greek-orthodox-patriarchate-of-alexandria-and-all-africa

Holman, S. R. (2001). *The hungry are dying: Beggars and bishops in Roman Cappadocia*. Oxford University Press.

Isaac the Syrian. (1984). *The ascetical homilies of Saint Isaac the Syrian* (D. Miller, Trans.). Holy Transfiguration Monastery.

Isichei, E. (1995). *A history of Christianity in Africa: From antiquity to the present*. Eerdmans.

Kykkotis, S. (2010). The Patriarchate of Alexandria and the orthodox missionary work. *Ekklesiastikos Pharos,* 92(1). □

Lossky, V. (1991). *The mystical theology of the Eastern Church*. St Vladimir's Seminary Press.

Makrides, V. N. (2012). Orthodox Christianity, nationalism, and the Eastern Question. *Religion, State & Society, 40*(3–4), 343–361.

Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African religions and philosophy.* Heinemann.

McGuckin, J. A. (2011). *The Orthodox Church: An introduction to its history, doctrine, and spiritual culture*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Meyendorff, J. (1983). *Byzantine theology: Historical trends and doctrinal themes* (2nd ed.). Fordham University Press.

Nicolaides, A. (2015). Social capital, ethics, and spirituality: An Orthodox Christian perspective. *Philosophia, 43*(3), 847–864.

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Nicolaides, A., & Grobler, A. (2017). Philanthropy and social responsibility in faith-based organizations. *HTS Teologiese Studies*, 73(3), 1–8.

Njoroge, J. N. (2017). Missiological context of the Eastern Orthodox Churches in Africa. *International Review of Mission*, 106(2), 356–368. https://doi.org/10.1111/irom.12191

Oduyoye, M. A. (1995). *Daughters of Anowa*. Orbis Books.

Papkova, I. (2011). *The Orthodox Church and Russian politics.* Oxford University Press.

Ecumenical Patriarch Bartholomew I – https://ec-patr.org

Patriarch Theodoros II of Alexandria and All Africa. (n.d.). In OrthodoxWiki. Retrieved from https://en.orthodoxwiki.org/Theodoros_II_%28Choreftakis%29_of_Alexandria

Patriarch Daniel of Romania – www.basilica.ro

Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone*. Simon & Schuster.

Romanian Orthodox Parish. (n.d.). About our church. Romanian Orthodox Parish “Saint Andrew the Apostle” in South Africa. Romanian Orthodox Parish website https://ropasa.co.za/about-our-church/

Romanian Orthodox Parish. (n.d.). Romanian Orthodox Parish “Saint Andrew the Apostle” in South Africa. Parish website https://ropasa.co.za/about-our-church/

Roudometof, V. (2011). Religion and nationalism in global perspective. *Sociology of Religion, 72*(3), 253–272.

Runciman, S. (1977). The Byzantine theocracy. Cambridge University Press.

Sanneh, L. (2008). *Disciples of all nations: Pillars of world Christianity*. Oxford University Press.

Schmemann, A. (1973). *For the life of the world: Sacraments and Orthodoxy*. St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press.

Stăniloae, D. (1996), *Orthodox Dogmatic Theology*, Biblical and Mission Institute of the Romanian Orthodox Church Press, vol. 1, p.195.

Tadros, S. (2011). *The Ethiopian Orthodox Church*. Cambridge University Press.

Taft, R. F. (2006). *The liturgy of the hours in East and West: The origins of the Divine Office and its meaning for today* (2nd rev. ed.). Liturgical Press.

Tutu, D. (1999). *No future without forgiveness*. Random House.

Ware, K. (2004). *The inner kingdom.* St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press.

Ware, K. (1997). *The Orthodox Church* (2nd ed.). Penguin.

Yannaras, C. (2006). *Orthodoxy and the West: Hellenic self-identity in the modern age.* Holy Cross Orthodox Press.

Copyright: ©2026 Open Access/Author/s - Online @ http//: www.pharosjot.com

Zizioulas, J. D. (1985). *Being as communion: Studies in personhood and the Church*. St. Vladimir's Seminary Press.

Note: Rev Prof A Nicolaides is also the Parish Priest in the Greek Orthodox Church of the Holy Trinity in Umbilo, Durban, South Africa

***Conflict of Interest Statement**: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.*

***This article is open-access and** distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence CC BY: credit must be given to the creator, the title and the license the work is under. This license enables re-users to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, so long as attribution is given to the creator.*