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Abstract

Psalm 130 is a penitential lament, located within the Psalms of Ascent. This article shows that, unlike other Psalms of Lament that move from lament to praise, Psalm 130 moves from lament to exhortation. Utilizing rhetorical analysis, the author also demonstrates how Psalm 130 expresses the necessary connection between lament and hope. Founded on a covenant relationship to Yahweh, the psalmist can lament, confess, and repent, all the while hoping in Yahweh’s compassion and covenant commitment. The article concludes by arguing that lament, which has been largely abandoned in the Church, holds great value in the contemporary context.
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Introduction

This article examines Psalm 130 and explores its nature as a penitential lament. The approach used here is a rhetorical critical method that takes into account the nature of the psalm as lyric poetry. As poetry, the Psalm expresses its theme and message not only through the straightforward use of words but also through the artistic devices of form (genre and structures), feeling (mood/affective dimension), and figures of speech. These rhetorical devices overlap and intertwine to create theological meaning. Furthermore, this approach appreciates the various contexts of ancient and contemporary hearers of the psalms.

Beginning with an overview of Psalm 130, the article will argue for a four-part chiastic structure of the psalm. The main body of the study will consist of a rhetorical analysis of the psalm, and the article will conclude with suggestions regarding the contemporary practice of lament in the Church.

Psalm 130

A Song of Ascents
From the depths, I cry to you, L ORD.
   Lord, hear my voice!
2Let your ears be attentive
   to the voice of my pleadings for grace.
3If you should observe iniquities, L ORD,
   Lord, who would stand?

---

1 These compositional and semantic devices are found in all types of biblical literature, but they are more pronounced in the poetic sections of Scripture. See, for example, L.R. Martin, Biblical Hermeneutics: Essential Keys for Interpreting the Bible (Miami, FL: Gospel Press, 2011), and W.L. Liefeld, New Testament Exposition: From Text to Sermon (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1984).
But forgiveness is with you, so that you may be feared.

I wait for the LORD; my whole being waits, and for his word I hope.

My soul [waits] for the Lord more than watchmen for the morning; watchmen for the morning.

Hope, O Israel, in the LORD; because with the LORD is commitment, and abundant with him is redemption.

And he, himself, will redeem Israel from all their iniquities. (Author’s translation)

A reading of Psalm 130 immediately reveals three of its characteristics. First, the heading/superscription of the psalm points to its canonical context among the fifteen Songs of Ascents (Psalms 120-134), which were sung by the Jewish pilgrims as they journeyed to Jerusalem and as they ascended Mt. Zion to worship at the ancient temple. Second, the fact that the psalm begins with a cry for God’s attention suggests that it should be classified as a lament, a request for God’s help. Third, the references throughout to sin and forgiveness indicate that this is a particular kind of lament – it is what has been called a penitential psalm. The seven penitential laments, Psalms 6, 32, 38, 51, 102, 130, and 143 (and I would include also Psalm 106), are contrite confessions of sin coupled with passionate pleas for God’s mercy and forgiveness.

Used in the liturgies of the Synagogue and the Church to voice the contrition and repentance of both the individual and the congregation, Psalm 130 is known in the Latin tradition as ‘De Profundis’, a title based on the first words of the psalm (‘from the depths’) as translated in the Latin Vulgate. Because of differences in the numbering of the Psalms, Psalm 130 is number 129 in the Latin, Greek, and Hebrew traditions.

I. The Structure of Psalm 130

The psalm may be divided into four sections. Verses 1 and 2 express the psalmist’s plea for grace, and verses 3 and 4 describe the Lord’s compassionate nature. Verses 5 and 6 declare the psalmist’s intent to wait and hope in the Lord, and verses 7 and 8 challenge the people of Israel to...
to do the same, because the Lord is committed to his covenant with Israel and will redeem them. In addition to these structural characteristics, it should be noted that verses 1-4 are addressed to God, and verses 5-6 form a soliloquy that expresses the psalmist’s trust in God and assurance of being heard. The last two verses are addressed to the congregation as an encouragement to seek for redemption.

A. Four-part structure of Psalm 130

A. Address to God and plea for pardon (vv. 1-2)
B. Confession and declaration of God’s mercy (vv. 3-4)
C. Testimony of the psalmist’s trust in God (vv. 5-6)
D. Exhortation for Israel to trust God as redeemer (vv. 7-8)

Another structural feature of note is the chiasm imbedded in verses 3-8.

A Iniquity - If you kept a record of iniquities, Yahweh (v. 3)
B Grace - But there is forgiveness with you, (v. 4)
C Expectation - I wait for Yahweh, and ... I hope (vv. 5 and 6)
C' Expectation - Hope, O Israel, in Yahweh; (v. 7)
B' Grace -with him is abundant redemption (v. 7)
A' Iniquity - he himself shall redeem Israel from all his iniquities (v. 8)

The outer elements of the chiasm point to the work of Yahweh in forgiving iniquity, and the center of the chiasm highlights the role of the supplicant to ‘wait’ for Yahweh and ‘hope’ for an answer.

B. Structure of Lament Psalms

Another way to look at the structure is to compare it to the pattern that is found in many of the Psalms of Lament. With the exception of the vow of praise, the common elements of the lament can be correlated with Psalm 130.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of Lament</th>
<th>Psalm 130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Address to God</td>
<td>v. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Petition</td>
<td>v. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Complaint</td>
<td>v. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Assurance of answer</td>
<td>v. 4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Statement of trust</td>
<td>vv. 5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Vow of praise</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Descriptive praise</td>
<td>vv. 7-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. Rhetorical Analysis of Psalm 130

A. The superscription

As mentioned above, Psalm 130 is located among the Psalms of Ascents. Inasmuch as an approach to the temple is an occasion for rejoicing and celebration, the heading creates a mood of joy and anticipation. While anticipation may continue throughout the psalm, the joy is quickly replaced by sorrow, when the hearer realizes that this is a Psalm of Lament. At first thought, the Psalms of Ascents appear to be an inappropriate location for a lament. Nevertheless, Psalms 120,
123, 126, and 130 are all among the Psalms of Ascents and all of them seem to fit into the category of lament. In an earlier psalm, worshipers are warned about the moral requirements for entering the House of God:

Who may ascend into the hill of Yahweh, and who may stand in His holy place?
He who has clean hands and a pure heart, who has not lifted up his soul to falsehood, and has not sworn deceitfully.
He shall receive a blessing from the Yahweh and righteousness from the God of his salvation (Ps. 24.3-5).

Perhaps the repentance that is voiced in these laments prepares the worshipers to enter God’s presence with ‘clean hands and a pure heart’.

A. Address to God and plea for pardon (vv. 1-2)

Like other Psalms of Lament, Psalm 130 begins with a direct address to God: ‘From the depths I cry’ to you, Yahweh’ (v. 1). Unlike some psalms that speak only about God, the laments speak to God. All of the agony, all of the pain, all of the guilt, and all of the shame is spoken directly to Yahweh from within the depths of suffering. A powerful figure of speech, ‘the depths’ brings to mind the feeling of despair and urgency that might overtake a person who is drowning in deep waters with no way of escape (Cf. Ps. 69.15). Here, the psalmist is drowning in the guilt and alienation brought on by sin (v. 3); therefore, a desperate cry goes out to Yahweh, the God of Israel, the God who heard the cries of slaves, brought them out of Egypt, and joined himself to them in covenant.

The beginning of verse 2 creates an unusual rhetorical pattern when juxtaposed with the ending of verse 1. The last word of verse 1 is Yahweh, and the first word of verse 2 is Adonai (‘Lord’). Therefore, the psalmist states, ‘…I cry to you Yahweh; Adonai hear my voice …’. The fact that this pattern is repeated in verse 3 makes it even more remarkable, and the fact that the translations ignore the pattern is disappointing.

Verse two begins as a prayer that God will ‘hear’ and be ‘attentive’, essentially highlighting the perceived absence of Yahweh, a feature that is common to the Psalms of Lament. The psalmist implores God to listen and to hear his prayer. The desire to be heard is emphasized by the repetition of the word ‘voice’ (קול). At the beginning, the prayer has no specific content; it is a request only that Yahweh hear the psalmist; but at the end of the verse, the content of the prayer

\[\text{footnote a}\]

is revealed to be an appeal for grace. The word עונש means ‘a plea for grace or favor’ and is related to the noun עון which means ‘grace, favor’. The verb form עון (‘show favor, be gracious’) is used in Psalm 51, another Penitential Psalm, when the psalmist prays, ‘Be gracious to me, O God’ (51.1). The act of pleading for grace is fraught with emotion and reveals the psalmist’s deep desire for restoration of the covenant relationship with God.

C. Confession of sin and declaration of God’s mercy (vv. 3-4)

The psalmist, claiming no merit whatever, confesses the universal failure of humanity, and in so doing, confesses his own sinfulness. If God’s acceptance of humanity were based upon his observation of iniquities, no one could stand acquitted, including the psalmist. A multitude of iniquities prohibits anyone from standing innocent before God, ‘but forgiveness is with’ the Lord. The Hebrew שולחא (‘but’) is used here as a strong adversative, pointing to Yahweh as the only source of hope. The word רעיה (‘forgiveness’) signifies ‘pardon’ and is used in the Hebrew Bible only in reference to the actions of God. Perhaps the psalmist remembers the Lord’s forgiveness of Israel when they built and worshipped the golden calf (Exod. 34.9) or when they grumbled at Kadesh Barnea (Num. 14.19-20). The preposition ‘with’ ( אלהא) answers the question, ‘Where is forgiveness; where is it located?’ It is ‘with’ the Lord. Similar language is used later in verse 7, where we learn that ‘commitment’ is ‘with’ Yahweh, and ‘redemption’ is also ‘with’ him. Because Yahweh is the only source of forgiveness, he is to be ‘feared’, which means to be revered and held in awe.

D. Testimony of the psalmist’s trust in God (vv. 5-6)

The first four verses of Psalm 130 are addressed to God, but verses 5-6 are a soliloquy, with no explicit addressee: ‘I wait for Yahweh; my whole being waits; and I hope for his word’. Having pleaded for God’s grace and forgiveness, the psalmist now waits expectantly for the Lord to answer. The theme of verses 5-6 is communicated through two related terms ‘to wait’ and ‘to hope’, and each of these terms is found twice in verses 5-7. Here it is affirmed that although lament is a statement of suffering and a plea for help, it is also ‘an act of hope’.

In modern usage, ‘waiting’ can be a passive state that is disconnected from the object of waiting. For example, while in the doctor’s waiting room, patients may read, watch TV, talk to each other,

---


2. Ibid., p. 335.

3. The verb is used in the following Penitential Psalms: 6, 51, 102, and 130. The noun form (ainter| ת) is found also in Psalm 143, another Penitential Psalm.

4. For a parallel usage of the verb תתן, see Job 14.16, ‘you do not keep a record of my sin’ (CEB).

5. D.J.A. Clines, Dictionary of Classical Hebrew (8 vols.; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1993) IV: 387. Cf. the same usage in Pss. 44.3 [4]; 115.1; and 118.17 (Hereafter, DCH).


make phone calls, or even take a nap. However, regarding the biblical word ‘wait’ (נָפַשׁ), John Hartley writes, ‘This root means to wait or to look for with eager expectation … It means enduring patiently in confident hope that God will decisively act for the salvation of his people’ (Gen 49:18). Waiting involves the very essence of a person’s being, his soul (נְפֶפֶשׂ; Ps 130:5).

17 Indeed, the psalmist emphasizes the involvement of his ‘whole being’ in this intense waiting process.

Waiting for the Lord, the psalmist also ‘hopes’ for a ‘word’, an answer from God. The word ‘hope’ (hif. of כִּי) overlaps semantically with ‘wait’ and is used here in parallel to it. The LXX, however, recognizes the difference between the terms and translates נ procrastinate with the Greek ὑπομνήματι, and it renders כי with ἐλπίζω. Paul Gilchrist argues that the kind of hope signified by כי ‘is not a pacifying wish of the imagination which drowns out troubles, nor is it uncertain … but rather [it] is the solid ground of expectation for the righteous. As such it is directed towards God.’

18 The psalmist hopes for God’s ‘word’, that is, for God’s answer to the prayer that is being offered. The psalmist will tarry and persist in prayer until a word of assurance comes forth from God.

The anticipation and longing of the psalmist is symbolized in verse 6 by a comparison with the guards who keep watch upon the city walls each night. Their hope is that they might pass the night successfully without threat or incident. Therefore, they long for the coming of the morning, when they can finally breathe a sigh of relief. The psalmist, however, longs for the Lord even more than the watchmen long for the day. Feeling the burden of iniquity and the guilt that it incurs, the psalmist waits and hopes sorrowfully and apprehensively, unable to claim the joy of forgiveness until the Lord responds with the gift of his word of redemption. The comparison presented in verse 6 does not add new information; rather, its import is to deepen the affective impact of the psalm. The impact is further strengthened by the striking repetition, ‘watchmen for the morning, watchmen for the morning’.

19 Consistent with other laments, verses 5-6 suggest that the Psalm of Lament is ultimately a psalm of hope.

E. Exhortation that Israel should trust in God’s redemption (vv. 7-8)

The Psalms of Lament often make a transition from prayer to praise, but in Psalm 130 the transition is from lament to exhortation. The last two verses of the psalm are addressed to ‘Israel’, the community of faith, which is encouraged to follow the example of the psalmist and ‘hope’ in the Lord.

20 Although the last two verses do not constitute praise, they produce a change of tone

---

17 J.E. Hartley, ‘(waiter of Ps. 130.6 and the lament of Habakkuk is striking: ‘I will stand on my watchtower and station myself upon the rampart; I will look to see what he will say to me’ (Hab. 2.1). R.D. Moore, The Spirit of the Old Testament (JPTSup, 35; Blandford Forum, UK: Deo Publishing, 2011), writes, ‘Habakkuk stages his wait for God on the watchtower, the place from which one would watch for an approaching enemy! The lamenter, who has been moved to see others and himself differently, is now opened to see God in a new way too’ (p. 116).

23 ‘Watchmen’ is a substantive participle of the verb יָפַשׁ, ‘to keep, guard, watch, observe’. See BDB p. 1036.

24 Tournay, Seeing and Hearing God with the Psalms, p. 209, understands v. 7 as an expression of Jewish messianic hope during the Persian period.
that is similar to that which would occur with a transition to praise. The first part of the psalm is plaintive and sorrowful, but the psalm concludes on the hopeful and positive note that Yahweh is faithful and will redeem Israel.

In light of the earlier thrice-repeated alternation from ‘Yahweh’ to ‘Adonai’ (vv. 1-2, 3, and 5-6), we might expect the same alternation here in verse 7. Instead, ‘Yahweh’ is repeated, as a kind of poetic climax that emphasizes the importance of the name ‘Yahweh’.

Israel’s hope in Yahweh is not without sound justification. The psalmist supplies two important reasons for that hope. First, Israel has grounds to hope in Yahweh, because ‘with Yahweh is commitment’, a theological conviction that is rooted in Yahweh’s self-revelation to Moses in Exod. 34.6 and which is a crucial concept in the Psalter. The word ‘commitment’ (Hebrew חסד) refers to Yahweh’s covenant faithfulness. The Hebrew term has no exact equivalent in English, which has led to a variety of translations: ‘faithful love’ (CEB), ‘mercy’ (JPS, NKJV), ‘steadfast love’ (NASB), ‘lovingkindness’ (NASB), ‘steadfast love’ (RSV), ἔλεος (LXX), ‘misericordia’ (VUL and RV), ’Gnade’ (Luther). The difficulty in translation results from the fact that in contexts like Psalm 130, חסד expresses a combination of love, mercy, and covenant loyalty. I have chosen to follow Goldingay, who translates חסד with the English ‘commitment’, a word that seems to capture both the loyalty and covenant love that are essential to חסד. On its deep significance, Zenger writes that חסד underscores that there is a fundamental relationship between YHWH and Israel on which Israel can rely: It is a loving relationship that determines YHWH’s actions toward Israel and drives him to act out of love, which not only yields more than one would ‘normally expect but cares lovingly for Israel – unconditionally and ‘for nothing,’ the very love that is not only supportive but in fact constitutive of Israel’s life.

Israel’s second reason for hope is that God’s redemption is ‘abundant’ (v. 7b). The adjective ‘abundant’ is stressed by the unusual word order of v. 7b. It is a verbless clause in which ‘abundant’ is often translated as an attributive adjective: ‘With him is abundant redemption’ (NASB, NKJV). The placement of the adjective in first position, however, dictates that it be understood as a predicate adjective rather than an attributive adjective. Attributive adjectives follow the nouns they modify, which is not the case in v. 7. Translating ‘abundant’ as a predicate adjective yields the following meaning in English: ‘with him, redemption is abundant’. As is often the case, using normal English word order would obscure the rhetorical impact of the Hebrew text; therefore, a better translation results by retaining the Hebrew word order – ‘abundant with him is redemption’. After all, this is poetry; and even in English poetry, word order is fluid.

The word order in verse 8 is equally emphatic, inasmuch as the verse begins with the unnecessary pronoun ‘he’, which suggests the translation ‘he, himself, will redeem’ (he, and no one else!) or

---

25 In its various forms, חסד is found 130 times in the book of Psalms. See especially, Psalm 136.
29 JPS and RSV have the same word order but use the word ‘plenteous’ instead of ‘abundant’.
30 Cf. C.A. Briggs and E.G. Briggs, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Psalms (International Critical Commentary; 2 vols.; Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1969), II, p. 465. The Hebrew חסד was originally a hifil infinitive construct, with the meaning ‘to increase, to make numerous, to make great’, but its grammatical function was expanded to include adjectival and adverbial uses. See HALOT, p. 1177.
more loosely as ‘he is the one who will redeem’ (CEB).31 Once again, repetition adds to the impact of the psalm. The noun ‘redemption’, found in v. 7, takes a verbal form in v. 8: ‘will redeem’. The root of both the noun and the verb (DbContext) means ‘to buy out’,32 to ‘ransom for a price’,33 to ‘liberate’.34 It is used in reference to Israel’s redemption from Egyptian bondage (Deut. 15.15) and the redemption from Babylonian exile (Isa. 35.10), but Ps. 13.7-8 is the only Old Testament text where the word DbContext is used with reference to redemption from sin.35 The words ‘commitment’ and ‘redemption’ appeal to Israel’s communal memory as the covenant people of God, and those memories generate deep affective responses of love, gratitude, and hope.

The ending of Psalm 130 points back to verse 3, where the psalmist asked the sobering rhetorical question: ‘If you should observe iniquities, LORD, Lord, who would stand?’ This final verse answers verse 3 with the buoyant declaration that the Lord ‘himself will redeem Israel from all their iniquities’! Psalm 130 confirms the assertion of Brueggemann that ‘when YHWH is rightly understood, sin from the outset is penultimate at best. What is ultimate is the mercy of YHWH that outflanks human failure.’36

III. Psalm 130 and the Practice of Lament

The Psalm of Lament is the worshiper’s cry to God for deliverance from distress. The seven penitential psalms, including Psalm 130, link pain to guilt; but this linkage is rare elsewhere within the Psalter.37 Most of the Psalms of Lament are expressions of suffering by those who are innocent; that is, their immediate pain is not caused directly by their own guilt (e.g. Psalms 5, 7, 17, 26).38 In the more common types of lament, the sufferer’s trouble may take the form of sickness (Psalms 6, 31, 38), oppression (Psalms 3, 9, 13), or an accusation (Psalm 7, 17, 26).39 Underlying the lament is the feeling that God is absent (Psalms 13, 22, 44).40 Scott Ellington explains a number of important components of the biblical lament:

Biblical lament, while it does include tears, complaints and protests, is something more. It is the experience of loss suffered within the context of relatedness. A relationship of trust, intimacy, and love is a necessary precondition for genuine lament. When the biblical writers lament, they do so from within the context of a foundational relationship that binds together the individual with members of the community of faith and that community with their God. That biblical lament is offered to God is clear, but perhaps less obvious is the essential role that the community plays. The prayer of lament is not a private thing, but is offered ‘out

---

31 The CIW follows the Hebrew: וְיָמְנוּ יְהוָהִים יַעֲקֹבֶה אֶל-כָּל-יִשְׂרָאֵל-יָשָׂא יֵאָשֵׁר-לִשָּׂא אֶת-עֲשָׂרֵה.  
32 HALOT, II, p. 912.  
33 DCH, VI, p. 651.  
Walter Brueggemann has been instrumental in calling attention to the value of lament in general and to the Psalms of Lament in particular. In his 1986 article, ‘The Costly Loss of Lament’, he argues that despite the great value of the Psalms of Lament, they are now virtually ignored by Church; and he observes further that lament itself is absent from both ‘life and liturgy’. Brueggemann argues that ‘most contemporary prayer is denial, as though our secrets can be hid from God’. He insists that by not using the Psalms of Lament in the Church, ‘we have communicated two messages to people: either you must not feel that way (angry with God, for example) or, if you feel that way, you must do something about it somewhere else – but not here’. Therefore, lament is consigned to the therapist’s office and effusive praise to the sports arena.

Claus Westermann proposes that the absence of lament in Christian theology and practice is due in part to a one-sided reading of the New Testament, particularly by the Reformed tradition, that emphasizes the work of Jesus Christ as redemption from sin but not as redemption from suffering. He writes, ‘Here we see the real reason why the lament has been dropped from Christian prayer. The believing Christian should bear suffering patiently and not complain about it to God. The “sufferings of this world” are unimportant and insignificant. What is important is the guilt of sin.’ The laments, however, reflect a ‘spirituality of vigorous protest’. Westermann observes further that by quoting Psalm 22 on the cross, Jesus demonstrated that he ‘had taken up the lament of those people who suffer, that he too had entered into suffering… With his suffering and dying, therefore, Jesus could not have had only the sinner in mind; he must also have been thinking of those who suffer.’ The one-sided view of Christ’s death that relates his work to sin alone and excludes human suffering does not represent ‘the New Testament as a whole’. Therefore, Westermann asserts that Christian theology must be ‘corrected by the Old Testament. A correction of this sort would have far-reaching consequences. One of these would be that the lament, as the language of suffering, would receive a legitimate place in Christian worship, as it had in the

41 Ellington, Risking Truth, p. 7 (emphasis original). Ellington’s stance is in direct opposition to that of P.D. Miller, ‘Prayer and Worship’, Calvin Theological Journal 36.1 (2001), who argues that lament is a private prayer that has ‘its primary focus outside of worship … not in the community’ (pp. 53-54, emphasis original). Miller uses the prayer of Hannah as support for his model, but he fails to incorporate the communal laments, the book of Lamentations, the laments found in the prophets, and the Psalms of Lament that include direct address to the community, as Ps. 130.7-8 does.


44 Brueggemann, From Whom No Secrets Are Hid, p. 92.
46 Westermann, Praise and Lament in the Psalms, p. 274.
worship of the Old Testament. The Psalms of Lament encourage faithful and legitimate protest to God during times of trouble.

Conclusion

Psalm 130 begins with a cry: ‘From the depths, I cry to you, LORD’; and, by beginning in this way, the psalm teaches us to give voice to our suffering and our pain. The laments teach us to come openly and honestly to God with our needs, to tell him our doubts and our fears. Jesus declared that God’s house should be a house of prayer, but many liturgies do not include prayer for the sick or times of seeking the face of God in sustained prayer. In some contemporary churches, worship is turned into entertainment, and the preaching of the Gospel becomes self-help sessions that promote positive thinking. There is little time given to minister to those who are suffering. The church should be a place where God’s people can weep with those who weep and bind up the brokenhearted. Many Christians arrive at church bearing heavy burdens. During times of prayer, the body of Christ can respond to their pain, so that grieving ones are not alone in that moment. In the words of the psalmist, the Church must ‘cry out’ to the Lord for each other and for the suffering of the world.
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